

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,737	ARAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Leonid Thenor.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 December 2006

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

5,7,8,10,14-16

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the Examiner proposed claim amendments to remove potential 112 1st and 2nd paragraph rejections because of the transition phase "consisting of" in the claims and to better clarify the claimed invention. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)